# Meyer, Ioana C (CIV)

**From:** Meyer, Ioana C (CIV)

Sent: Monday, March 3, 2025 6:27 PM

To: Tuttle Newman, Jake; Adair, Thomas (CIV); Golden, Augustus J. (CIV); Bertoni, Daniel

(CIV)

**Cc:** Speth, Charles; Gostin, Isley **Subject:** RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Tracking: Recipient Read

Tuttle Newman, Jake

 Adair, Thomas (CIV)
 Read: 3/3/2025 6:46 PM

 Golden, Augustus J. (CIV)
 Read: 3/3/2025 9:28 PM

 Bertoni, Daniel (CIV)
 Read: 3/3/2025 6:34 PM

Speth, Charles Gostin, Isley

Jake,

**Clawbacks.** We are reviewing the clawed back documents and will let you know once we have determined our position. For URS's clawed back documents, are those separately identified in the privilege log? If not, could you please identify those in the privilege log?

URS 30(b)(6). We propose the dates of April 1 through April 3, please let us know asap whether this will work.

**Gavin.** Will March 25 work for a deposition of Mr. Gavin? Where is Mr. Gavin located? And does he prefer an inperson or a virtual deposition?

**Tate**. We will confirm whether March 18 works for Ms. Tate. Due to medical issues, her deposition will need to be virtual.

NR 30(b)(6). March 25 will work best for this deposition.

Cochran. Mr. Cochran is available by virtual deposition in March except the following dates: March 3, 6, 7, and 13.

Stone, Axelson, Cabbil, Smith. We are still waiting for deposition availabilities for these witnesses.

Best,

\_\_\_\_\_

Ioana C. Meyer (202) 305-0001

Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2025 11:32 AM

To: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) <Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) <Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov>; Golden,

Augustus J. (CIV) <Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) <Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov>

**Cc:** Speth, Charles < Charles. Speth@wilmerhale.com>; Gostin, Isley < Isley. Gostin@wilmerhale.com> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

loana,

Thanks for your responses. In turn, below please find some further responses and clarifications.

**DOE Clawbacks.** Thank you for checking on this. In the interest of moving this forward, we wanted to flag some information that may be relevant for the government to consider in assessing these clawbacks:

- **DEFPROD23274001**: This document reflects a pure factual recitation that is not made privileged simply by virtue of it being put in an email to an attorney or placed under an "Attorney-Client Privilege" header. In addition, the same factual summary was sent to non-lawyers Jeffrey Hill (in DEFPROD23274014) and Frederick Lentz (in DEFPROD23274025). The communication from Mr. Steele is not privileged and, even if it were, the contents cease to be privileged by virtue of the other communications to non-lawyers that neither contain nor seek legal advice.
- **DEFPROD16478482**: This document has been produced in no fewer than 10 different government documents and has also been produced <u>by URS</u> at URS-01289892 and URS-01289895, after it was provided to URS <u>by the EPA</u> in response to FOIA requests to the EPA. To the extent that this document reflects legal advice—which URS does not concede—those productions of the material contained in this document constitutes a waiver of that privilege.
- **DEFPROD1132069**: This document was prepared by a non-lawyer, was sent to numerous non-lawyers for their review and comment, was prepared to evaluate a URS proposal, and does not contain or reflect legal advice. Accordingly, the document is not privileged. And, even if it had been, privilege has undoubtedly been waived. Again, the government has produced both other versions of this email thread and other versions of the document in question, including in the productions that were made after the government's privilege review that was conducted in 2024 (see, e.g. DEFPROD006-00108435; DEFPROD006-00220759; DEFPROD006-00107096).

**URS Clawbacks.** Because the exchange of initial privilege logs was delayed—as a result of the government's repeated need to delay the conclusion of document production—URS included the documents that it clawed back on the initial privilege log that was produced on September 11, 2024. All documents that URS has withheld for privilege, either in the first instance or as the result of a clawback, are included on that single log.

**URS 30(b)(6).** We categorically reject your assertion that you have been waiting since September for a proposed date. URS proposed dates for this deposition on January 30 that were within the currently operative discovery period. The government then responded that it was not available to take this deposition during the final week of fact discovery—for reasons that the government has, four weeks later, been unable to explain. Yet, on Friday, the government served a notice for the deposition of a third-party witness who had not previously been identified as a potential witness in this case for the same week that it had previously claimed unavailability. Please provide an explanation for the government's change in availability.

**Gavin.** We have been requesting the government's availability during the week of March 10 since late January, and with respect to Mr. Gavin's deposition since February 5. Please provide an answer regarding the government's availability by 2/28.

**NR 30(b)(6).** We would prefer to keep the prior dates discussed, if we are able to resolve the clawback issues within a reasonable time frame. We will also check on our availability on the date you have proposed as a fallback option.

**Tate.** Your message immediately below is somewhat confusing. We are requesting a date for Ms. Tate's availability and have not been able to suggest a date. You noted six dates on which Ms. Tate is

unavailable. Assuming that she is available on dates other than the ones you have noted are unavailable, we would suggest March 18 for her deposition.

Cochran. We appreciate it.

**Stone & Axelson.** We are working on dates and hope to have them for you soon.

Thanks, Jake

Jake Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale

he/him/his 617.526.6426

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < <a href="mailto:loana.c.Meyer@usdoj.gov">loana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov</a>>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 3:30 PM

**To:** Tuttle Newman, Jake <<u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) <<u>Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < <u>Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>>

**Cc:** Speth, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com">Com</a>>; Gostin, Isley < <a href="mailto:Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com">Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com</a>>

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

## **EXTERNAL SENDER**

Jake,

I just heard from Ms. Tate, she said that she is not available on March 17th, 19th, 21st, 26th, 28th, or the 31<sup>st</sup>, and scheduling would depend on any medical appointments that may come up.

Best, Ioana

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV)

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 2:21 PM

To: 'Tuttle Newman, Jake' <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) <Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov>;

Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov >; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov >

Cc: Speth, Charles < Charles . Speth@wilmerhale.com >; Gostin, Isley < Isley . Gostin@wilmerhale.com >

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Jake,

Thanks for checking in, our responses are below.

Clawbacks. Thank you for raising this; I will confer with the appropriate counsel for each document and let you know what the government's position is this week, as soon as possible. Thank you for confirming that AECOM has taken steps to delete or sequester copies of these documents. On this same note, I believe last year in about September or October, we received notice that AECOM intended to claw back a slew of documents and stated that it would provide us with a privilege log for those documents. We have sequestered those documents but have yet to receive a privilege log and therefore cannot move forward. Can you please let us know when you will provide us with that privilege log?

**URS 30(b)(6) & Gavin.** I will check with our DOJ team as to whether someone is free the week of the 10<sup>th</sup> to take Mr. Gavin's deposition and get back to you. Is there another set of three consecutive days that you propose for the URS 30(b)(6) deposition, and can you let us know how many witnesses you intend to present? We have been waiting since September 2024 for a proposed date for this deposition.

**NR 30(b)(6).** To allow time to resolve your issues with the clawed back document, we propose the deposition on Tuesday, March 25<sup>th</sup>, to be held at the DOJ offices at 1100 L St. NW. Typically, we would propose the agency's headquarters, which here is the Washington Navy Yard, but because access to the Navy Yard is restricted, we are making the witness available at our offices instead as a courtesy. We will let you know the identity of the witness once that has been confirmed.

**Cochran & Tate.** Thank you for the reminder, we are working on those dates and will let you know as soon as we have the witnesses' availability.

Axelson & Stone. Do you have dates for these two witnesses for deposition?

Best, Ioana

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake < <u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2025 5:23 PM

To: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) < Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov>; Golden,

Augustus J. (CIV) < <u>Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>> **Cc:** Speth, Charles < Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com>; Gostin, Isley < Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Ioana,

Touching base on a couple of items:

Clawbacks. There were two clawbacks made during the EPA 30(b)(6) deposition as well as a clawback during the Bauer deposition. As we noted on the record in each instance, URS disputes the propriety of those clawbacks and may move the court for a ruling as to these documents. Gus indicated on the record that the government would be confirming its position and might produce a redacted version of the document(s), see EPA 30(b)(6) transcript, 121:11-122:6, 208:1-19, so we are writing to follow up on that. By Tuesday, February 25, please provide the government's position on the three documents with the following bates numbers, including (i) whether the government stands on its clawback, and, if so, (ii) the basis for clawing back the document and (iii) whether the government intends to reproduce the document in redacted format. The applicable bates numbers are: DEFPROD1132069; DEFPROD23274001; DEFPROD16478482. I can confirm that URS has taken steps to delete or sequester copies of these documents in the meantime. Once we get those clarifications from you, we think it likely makes sense to set up a quick call to see if we can resolve any outstanding disagreements.

Week of March 10 / URS 30(b)(6) / Gavin. On January 31, we proposed holding the URS 30(b)(6) during the week of March 10, which is the final week of the current fact discovery period, but you indicated that week would not work. We asked thereafter about the government's availability during the week of March 10 on February 5 and again on February 11, and we offered to hold Mr. Gavin's deposition that week, but you did not respond to our inquiries concerning your availability. Late Friday, however, you served a subpoena for the deposition of Craig Anderson—a witness who is not currently on either party's list of likely trial witnesses—on Thursday March 13. Please let us know how the Government is able to take the deposition of Mr. Anderson that week but is unable to take the URS 30(b)(6) deposition. In addition, please let us know if any government attorney is available that

week for the Gavin deposition. We have not been able to ask Mr. Gavin to hold time during that week in light of the government's failure to confirm any availability. If there is availability, we will see if Mr. Gavin is still available.

**NR 30(b)(6).** Please provide information regarding the proposed date, and location and identity of the witness. While we are available on the dates discussed, unless we are able to resolve issues related to the government's clawback of DEFPROD23274001, it is our view that it does not make sense to proceed with the Naval Reactors 30(b)(6) until the status of that document is resolved. Upon receiving confirmation of the government's position, URS is happy to move expeditiously to present this matter to the Court (and is prepared to conduct briefing on an expedited timeline if the government would like to do so).

Cochran & Tate. Please provide an update on the availability of these witnesses to sit for a deposition.

Thanks, Jake

#### Jake Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale

he/him/his 617.526.6426

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2025 1:34 PM

To: Tuttle Newman, Jake < <u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) < <u>Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < Augustus. J. Golden@usdoj.gov >; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < Daniel. Bertoni@usdoj.gov >

Cc: Speth, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com">Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com</a>; Gostin, Isley < <a href="mailto:Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com">Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com</a>>

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

## **EXTERNAL SENDER**

Hi jake,

I will be attending and I will send the names of the reporter and videographer once I have them.

Best,

\_\_\_\_\_

Ioana C. Meyer (202) 305-0001

Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2025 11:22 AM

To: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov >; Adair, Thomas (CIV) < Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov >; Golden,

Augustus J. (CIV) < <u>Augustus J. Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>>

Cc: Speth, Charles < Charles. Speth@wilmerhale.com >; Gostin, Isley < Isley. Gostin@wilmerhale.com >

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Hi Ioana,

On the Macias deposition, Mr. Macias is in Knoxville and we will be in person with him there. A Knoxville-based firm, Hodges, Doughty & Carson, has offered its office space to host the deposition. We will need to provide them

with the names of anyone who will be attending in person, including the court report and/or videographer, with some advance notice for building security purposes. We do anticipate requiring a second day of testimony.

Thanks, Jake

Jake Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale

he/him/his 617.526.6426

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2025 2:11 PM

To: Tuttle Newman, Jake < <u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) < <u>Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < <u>Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>>

Cc: Speth, Charles < Charles . Speth@wilmerhale.com >; Gostin, Isley < Isley . Gostin@wilmerhale.com >

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

## **EXTERNAL SENDER**

Hi Jake,

I've set forth our responses below.

**Dan Macias.** Where will his deposition be, and what are the logistics for the deposition? And I assume you'll need the second day?

**Schedule extension.** We agree to extend the deadline by which the parties must disclose any additional trial witnesses to February 21, and thank you for discussing the schedule extension with your client. We will check regarding the week of March 10 and let you know.

**URS 30(b)(6).** Thank you for considering the three days and for checking on the week of March 24 as well as other weeks in April.

NR 30(b)(6). Great, we will get back to you asap on a specific date, location, and witness.

**Exhibits.** Again, I'm not sure. The attorney taking the deposition said he downloaded the exhibits from Relativity when on the production screen, so we really have no idea what happened. Any thoughts on what I said about fixing the issue with exhibit 191?

Best,

\_\_\_\_\_

Ioana C. Meyer (202) 305-0001

Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2025 5:23 PM

To: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < <a href="mailto:loana.c.Meyer@usdoj.gov">! Adair, Thomas (CIV) < a href="mailto:loana.c.Meyer@usdoj.gov">! Golden, Thomas (CIV) < a hr

Augustus J. (CIV) <Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) <Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov>

**Cc:** Speth, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com">Com</a>; Gostin, Isley < <a href="mailto:Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com">Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com</a>> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Hi Ioana,

Thanks for your responses. Some additional notes in the hopes of reaching agreement on these topics are below.

**Macias Deposition.** Mr. Macias is more comfortable having his counsel attend in person, so we are going to be present with him in Knoxville. If the government needs to conduct the deposition virtually, we have no objection.

**Schedule Extension.** We are checking with our client regarding the government's request for a schedule extension and will get back to you as quickly as possible with a position. In the meantime, can we agree to extend the deadline by which the parties must disclose any additional trial witnesses by one week—from February 14 to February 21?

We did discuss double tracking depositions with Meen-Geu and believe that double tracking depositions to accommodate witness schedules is something the government should be able to accommodate, as doing so does not require a greater total amount of attorney time and there are four government attorneys who have appeared in this litigation.

Can you also let us know whether there is government availability for a deposition during the week of March 10, as we will be reaching back out to Mr. Gavin among others.

**URS 30(b)(6).** In terms of what we mean regarding the different situations of the parties, while we agree that the parties are both entitled to discovery, that doesn't mean that there is an equal amount to cover with both sides, and it's our view that the government has significantly more in the way of relevant, discoverable information. Nonetheless, we are considering your request for three days of deposition and will reach out regarding witness availability during the week you've proposed (the week of March 24) as well as other weeks in April.

**NR 30(b)(6)**. The dates during the week of March 18 that you listed should work for us. Please confirm location and witness when you are able to do so.

**Feinberg and Davis.** It was Sky Smith who requested holding the Feinberg and Davis depositions in Ohio (as reflected in Charlie's initial email on the subject) rather than Albany, and at the time he seemed amenable to holding them in our Miamisburg office.

**Exhibits 188, 189, 190, and 191.** Thank you for providing these corrected bates numbers. Why were the documents introduced at the Hamilton deposition incorrectly bates labelled?

Thanks, Jake

Jake Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale he/him/his

617.526.6426

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2025 1:37 PM

**To:** Tuttle Newman, Jake <<u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) <<u>Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</u>>; Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) <<u>Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) <<u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>>

Cc: Speth, Charles < Charles . Speth@wilmerhale.com >; Gostin, Isley < Isley . Gostin@wilmerhale.com >

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

# **EXTERNAL SENDER**

Jake,

Responding to your previous deposition logistics email in full, please see the below.

**Dan Macias.** Given current restrictions on travel that have been placed on our section, we will likely need to take this deposition virtually and ask that WilmerHale attend virtually as well in the spirit of fairness.

**Schedule Extension.** Based on the number of depositions remaining, as well as the availability of the witnesses, completing the depositions in the time currently permitted is not possible. There are still 6 depositions remaining to be scheduled, so we propose an enlargement of the schedule (including all following deadlines) of 8 weeks, which would set the close of fact discovery as May 9.

I am not aware of any double-tracking agreement that Meen-Geu may have made, nor did he inform me of one. Considering the caseload of the DOJ attorneys working on this case (all of whom are working on 10-20 other cases concurrently), the hiring freeze in place, and the fact that our office is currently severely understaffed, we simply cannot double-track depositions. We are also subject to other Court-mandated deadlines in our other cases, which also impedes our ability to keep our calendars open.

**URS 30(b)(6).** Could you please explain what you mean by similarly situated? URS has filed a number of claims on which the Government is entitled to equal discovery as URS is, and indeed there are a significant amount of facts underpinning each claim. Thus, three days is entirely fair considering we provided you that courtesy. I would like to get this squared away by February 24 so that we can proceed with scheduling. We are available the week of March 24, as long as we hear back by February 24 on the number of witnesses URS intends to propose for this deposition.

**NR 30(b)(6).** For this deposition, March 18, 19, 20, or 21 should work. Let me double check on the location and get back to you.

**Feinberg & Davis.** The first email I have on the location of these depositions is from WilmerHale requesting Ohio, to which we agreed in order to make things easier and so that another trip to Schenectady would not be necessary. In addition, we are making the witnesses available and Cincinnati is most convenient for them, and it sounds like it will not be difficult for you to drive from Dayton to Cincinnati since it is only a distance of 45 miles. Thus, we will see you that week in Cincinnati.

**Cochran & Tate.** We are working on deposition dates for these two witnesses and will let you know once we have dates.

**Axelson & Stone.** Great, we will look forward to hearing about those dates asap.

**Exhibits.** It looks like Ex. 188 should be: DEFPROD003-00004701; Ex. 189 should be: DEFPROD003-00007198; Ex. 190 should be: DEFPROD003-00010401; and Ex. 191 should be: DEFPROD1584858. Although with exhibit 191, the full exhibit should include attachment 1, which for some reason the current exhibit does not. I've attached the bates version of the full exhibit. Because it has already been shown to the witness in its current version (without attachment 1) and presumably the witness authenticated it, we cannot just replace the current exhibit. I suggest we use the bates version but delete attachment 1 to replace the current exhibit. This will just mean that the full exhibit remains unauthenticated if it needs to be used later on. But happy to discuss other options as well.

Best,

Ioana C. Meyer (202) 305-0001 Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2025 5:12 PM

To: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < <a href="mailto:loana.c.Meyer@usdoj.gov">! Adair, Thomas (CIV) < a href="mailto:Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov">! Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</a>; Golden,

Augustus J. (CIV) < <u>Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <u>Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</u>> Cc: Speth, Charles < <u>Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Gostin, Isley < <u>Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

Ioana,

Thank you for your responses. Some further responses below.

**Schedule Extension.** Based on your responses as to Mr. Gavin, the URS 30(b)(6), and the NR(b)(6), we understand the government to be requesting agreement to extend the deadline for the close of fact discovery past the current deadline of March 14. URS is amenable to a relatively short extension, provided the remaining pre-trial dates are likewise extended, so please let us know how much additional time you think will be required.

We do, however, want to make note of a couple of items regarding the schedule. First, as to your statement that the dates offered for Mr. Gavin are unavailable because they overlap with the deposition of Dan Macias, we had previously discussed with Meen-Geu the necessity to double-track depositions, and he had stated that this would be feasible as needed, particularly towards the end of the fact discovery period. There are at least four current DOJ attorneys who have appeared in this case, so there should be no reason that the government cannot conduct multiple depositions during overlapping windows, as necessary, and we believe that this is and will be necessary. That said, we will reach out to Mr. Gavin regarding his availability in March. Second, the week of March 10 is the final week of the fact discovery period under the current schedule. Is the government entirely unavailable that week? We would expect the government to be available to take and/or defend depositions during the final week of the fact discovery period. In any event, again, we can look at subsequent weeks for the URS deposition if needed. To avoid a recurrence of this issue, please let us know any weeks that the government is unavailable.

**EPA 30(b)(6).** Thank you for confirming the date. Please send the name of the witness as soon as possible, as the deposition is one week from today. Also, attached please find the list of attendees you requested for the deposition. Can you let us know the procedure for entering the building, as well as the floor or suite where the deposition will take place?

**URS 30(b)(6).** We are considering your request regarding the length of the deposition, although we do not believe URS and the government to be similarly situated in this respect. We will provide you with the names of the URS witness(es) once that is confirmed. As we will need to confirm witness availability, please let us know when the government is available to take this deposition.

**NR 30(b)(6).** Is the witness (or witnesses) for the government on the NR 30(b)(6) topics available during the week of March 17? If so, please let us know which days that week will work for the government and provide the name and location of the witness(es).

**Feinberg & Davis.** Your response is puzzling as we have repeatedly explained the reason for our request—logistical convenience given that we are the noticing and taking party and the distance between Cincinnati and Miamisburg is only about 45 miles. Further, the request for these depositions to occur in Ohio (rather than Albany) was made by counsel for the government, not URS. We offered to do the depositions in Miamisburg to

accommodate the Government's request, and we assume that the witnesses and counsel can easily travel to Miamisburg from Cincinnati for the depositions (assuming, as we expect, the witnesses and counsel will be in Cincinnati prior to the deposition days).

Cochran & Tate. Please provide these dates as soon as feasible.

**Axelson and Stone.** We are working to provide dates for Mr. Axelson and Mr. Stone and will revert with those dates.

**Exhibits 188, 189, 190, and 191.** Just following up on the question we raised last week about the bates numbering for these documents marked during the Hamilton deposition.

Thanks, Jake

## Jake Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale

he/him/his 617.526.6426

From: Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Monday, February 3, 2025 4:44 PM

To: Tuttle Newman, Jake < <u>Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com</u>>; Adair, Thomas (CIV) < <u>Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < Augustus. J. Golden@usdoj.gov >; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < Daniel. Bertoni@usdoj.gov >

Cc: Speth, Charles < Charles. Speth@wilmerhale.com >; Gostin, Isley < Isley. Gostin@wilmerhale.com >

Subject: RE: SPRU - Deposition Logistics

#### **EXTERNAL SENDER**

Hi Jake,

I've included our responses below and a few other matters.

**Kevin Collins.** Two people questioning is fine, thank you for letting us know in advance. The names of those attending the deposition are:

Ioana Meyer

Sky Smith

Tianni Harrison

Floretta Norris

Joefred Uwaelue

Desirae Jura (court reporter)

Brad Loy (videographer)

**Michael Gavin.** Dan Macias' deposition has already been scheduled for February 20 and 21, so Feb 19-20 will not be possible. At this point we will need to look at later in March for scheduling based on the depositions already in the calendar.

**URS 30(b)(6).** I am not sure that week will work; in addition we will need to know how many witnesses URS intends to present for this deposition. Further, because we allowed URS to have a 3-day deposition for its DOE 30(b)(6), we expect that courtesy to be returned. Accordingly, we will need to be able to schedule a 3-day period.

**EPA 30(b)(6).** February 12 is confirmed and we will send you the name of the witness as soon as that is for sure confirmed.

NR 30(b)(6). NR is not available until the week of March 17th.

**Feinberg & Davis.** I assumed this was settled with my last email because I'm not sure what the issue is. We had agreed to cooperate for offsite depositions and for the witnesses and our attorneys, Cincinnati is better, particularly considering we are making the witnesses available. Further, because both need to book their travel far in advance, they have already booked it to Cincinnati and it is extremely difficult for them to change it now.

**Tom Cochran.** We are conferring with Mr. Cochran and will get back to you.

Kimberly Tate. We are conferring with Ms. Tate and will get back to you.

**Additional deposition dates.** We are still waiting on deposition dates for Keith Stone and Karl Axelson – please provide those as soon as possible.

Best,

Ioana C. Meyer (202) 305-0001 Ioana.C.Meyer@usdoj.gov

From: Tuttle Newman, Jake <Jacob.TuttleNewman@wilmerhale.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 30, 2025 12:27 PM

**To:** Meyer, Ioana C (CIV) < <a href="mailto:loana.c.Meyer@usdoj.gov">! Adair, Thomas (CIV) < <a href="mailto:Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov">Thomas.Adair@usdoj.gov</a>>; Golden, Augustus J. (CIV) < <a href="mailto:Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov">Augustus.J.Golden@usdoj.gov</a>>; Bertoni, Daniel (CIV) < <a href="mailto:Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov">Daniel.Bertoni@usdoj.gov</a>>

Cc: Speth, Charles <Charles.Speth@wilmerhale.com>; Gostin, Isley <Isley.Gostin@wilmerhale.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] SPRU - Deposition Logistics

loana,

There are a number of outstanding scheduling items on which we wanted to follow up. Please let us know if you'd like to have a call to discuss.

**Kevin Collins:** We wanted to let you know that while only one lawyer will be defending Mr. Collins deposition, we will have two lawyers asking him questions on re-direct (roughly split between the 2014 claims and the 2019 claims).

**Michael Gavin:** Mr. Gavin is available to be deposed on February 19 or 20 at WilmerHale's D.C. Office. Depending on the length of the government's questioning, we believe Mr. Gavin's depo can be completed within one day, but we would like to reserve the following day – Feb. 20 or 21 – in the event a longer-than-anticipated redirect is necessary.

**URS 30(b)(6):** We propose holding this deposition at WilmerHale's D.C. office the week of March 10. Please let us know if that works for you.

**EPA 30(b)(6):** You confirmed that February 12 or 13 works for the Government but did not identify a specific date. Please confirm the specific date and provide the identity of the government's 30(b)(6) witness for the EPA.

**Tim Vitkus:** We have not yet received ORAU's production of documents, but we will work to process those documents and re-produce them to the government expeditiously once we do.

**NR 30(b)(6):** We propose holding this deposition the week of March 3. Please let us know if there is a day that week that works for Naval Reactors, and the location and name of the witness that the government will designate, so that we can arrange for logistics.

**Feinberg and Davis.** In response to your inquiry from last week, we believe that everyone attending from WilmerHale is a U.S. Citizen. We have not yet confirmed the identities of the court reporter and videographer, but will reach out to the vendor to confirm citizenship status. We continue to believe the depositions should occur in Miamisburg. Both Feinberg and Davis are travelling (same as Bauer) so it is irrelevant where DOE offices are located, and, as the party taking the deposition, it is far easier logistically to handle the large number of voluminous documents at WilmerHale's office. Moreover, the WilmerHale office in Miamisburg is a mere 48 miles from Cincinnati. We respectfully ask that you reconsider your position.

Tom Cochran: Please propose a date and location for Mr. Cochran's deposition.

**Kimberly Tate:** Attached please find a deposition notice for Kimberly Tate, whose deposition we are noticing in light of testimony from Ms. Bauer. Please provide her location and availability to sit for a deposition as soon as feasible.

Thanks, Jake

## Jacob Tuttle Newman | WilmerHale

He/Him
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109 USA
+1 617 526 6426 (t)
+1 617 526 5000 (f)
jacob.tuttlenewman@wilmerhale.com



WilmerHale celebrates the twentieth anniversary since the merger.

This email message and any attachments are being sent by Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately—by replying to this message or by sending an email to <a href="mailto:postmaster@wilmerhale.com">postmaster@wilmerhale.com</a>—and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Thank you.

For more information about WilmerHale, please visit us at <a href="http://www.wilmerhale.com">http://www.wilmerhale.com</a>.